

Appl. No. 10/539,169
Response Dated March 2, 2009
Reply to Office Action of Feb. 2, 2009

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-14 are pending in the instant application. The Examiner has required restriction to one of the following inventions under 35 U.S.C. § 121 and 372:

- I. Claims 1-8;
- II. Claims 9-13;
- III. Claim 14.

In response, Applicants elect, without traverse, to prosecute the invention of group I. Additionally, on page 3 of the current Office Action, the Examiner requests election of a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. On page 3 the Examiner inadvertently selects the wrong species. Specifically, the species of Group I where the ^{18}F source is $^{18}\text{F}_2$, $^{18}\text{F}-\text{CH}_3\text{COOF}$ or $^{18}\text{F}-\text{OF}_2$ the ^{18}F source is actually for the electrophilic process and for claims 1 to 5, the ^{18}F source is $^{18}\text{F}-$ anion.. Accordingly, Applicants elect $^{18}\text{F}-$ as the ^{18}F source and X= I^+ .

Appl. No. 10/539,169
Response Dated March 2, 2009
Reply to Office Action of Feb. 2, 2009

This election is made without prejudice to the ability of Applicants to file divisional applications on any non-elected inventions.

Any questions with respect to the foregoing may be directed to Applicant's undersigned agent at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Craig Bohlken/
Craig Bohlken
Reg. No. 52,628

GE Healthcare, Inc.
101 Carnegie Center
Princeton, NJ 08540
Phone (609) 514-6530